

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2012 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

0470 HISTORY

0470/42

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2012 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study A: Germany, 1918–1945

- **1** (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Anti-Left; loyal to the government sympathisers of Freikorps; links with the wealthy; financed espionage and, secretly, the Nazis etc. (3 4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. 'Massacred communists'; restored Right-wing; used 'secret army funds'; money from capitalists' etc. (5-6)
 - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Support of Ludendorff; publicity; ban brief; SA growing; switch to legal means etc.
- No Putsch failed; seats falling and only gained 3% of the popular vote; Hitler imprisoned; Rohm went to South America etc. (3-5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is history of the German Army, the other is by a German so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must state what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. All over 20 could vote; secret ballot; proportional representation; election every 4 years; separate election for Presidency etc. (1-2)

Page 3			Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42
(ii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 –	Identifies aspects e.g. violence; broke up mee	etings.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Develops aspects e.g. Targeted communis especially in Berlin; murders; parades; collect		-
(iii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
l	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Economy doing well; Stresemann effective suspicions of its 'socialism'; divisions – contes	- 'Golden Years	s'; too extreme;
(iv)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, appointed by Hindenburg. No, another the Nazi vote.	factor like Depre	ssion increased (1)
	Level 2 –	Explanation of weaknesses OR other factors,	single factor give	n e.g.
	Weak	Grand coalition; could not agree on policy under Article 48; Hindenburg; Schleicher; Br Von Papen; Nazis had only 33 per cent of the	runing role; Presi	
	Other	Catch-all appeal of Nazi policies; propaganda links to industrialists; unemployment at 30 pe 1930/32; Hitler's intransigence etc.		
l	Level 3 –	Explanation of weaknesses OR other facto single factors with multiple reasons.	rs, multiple facto	rs given. Allow
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (a	annotate BBB – (3 – 5)
l	Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of weaknesses AND other factor	s must be addres	sed. (6 – 8)

Page 4	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study B: Russia 1905–1941

2 (a) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. (1-2)

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Curious about changes; conservative and thought the changes would not work etc. (3-4)
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Curious and amazed at the strength of the tractor; felt the smell would poison the ground; crops would not grow and the changes would fail; changes are Party driven etc.

(5 - 6)

- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
 - Yes Stalin said it was; no more famines after the great famine; state farms produced more than private ones; peasants driving tractors; countryside transformed etc.
 - No Huge cost in human life and misery; great famine deaths; inefficiencies of collective farms etc. (3-5)
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from a Russian peasant, the other is from a British historian so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. A Kolkhoz was a collective farm. A Sovkhoz was a state farm where workers drew wages as per in industry. (1-2)

Page 5	;	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42	
(ii)	Level 0 -	 No evidence submitted or response does not 	address the ques	tion (0)	
	Level 1 -	 Identifies methods e.g. Persuasion by threat of 	or reward.	(1 – 2)	
	Level 2 -	- Develops methods e.g. Most by fear but some peasants were given extra rewards and responsibilities. As per kulaks, arrest, transportation. Gulags, work camps, death etc. $(2-4)$			
(iii)	Level 0 -	 No evidence submitted or response does not 	address the ques	tion (0)	
	Level 1 -	 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the 	e explanation.	(1 – 2)	
	Level 2 -	 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Needed vast increases in production to br Western powers; for defence; to enrich US NEP; to put his own stamp on the developm foreign technology etc. 	ing USSR up to SR; to get away	date and rival from capitalist	
(iv)	Level 0 -	 No evidence submitted or response does not 	address the ques	tion (0)	
	Level 1 -	 Simple assertions. Yes, lots more was produced. 		(1)	
	Level 2 -	 Explanation of effectiveness OR lack of effect 	tiveness, single fa	ctor given e.g.	
	Eff	After a slow start, and after training the US producer of heavy industry behind the USA; a onslaught; increasing amounts of grown corn	allowed USSR to	survive German	
	Ineff	Great famine killed millions; Second Five Ye many animals killed that animal production di improvement based on cruel targets, working etc.	id not catch up till	the 1950s; any	
	Level 3 -	 Explanation of effectiveness OR ineffectiveness or single factors with multiple reasons. 	ess, multiple facto	ors given. Allow	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (a	annotate BBB – (3 – 5)	
	Level 4 -	 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of effectiveness AND ineffectiver 	ness must be add	ressed. (6 – 8)	

Page 6	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919–1941

- **3** (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Did not understand the system; small investors were ruined; banks and major players did well, but not to be trusted; people disillusioned; future unclear etc. (3 – 4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. People were puzzled; they had lost all their money; banks had already made their profits by secret selling ahead of the crash etc. (5-6)
 - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Wages had been rising steadily for thirty years and demand for consumer goods had been high in the 1920s; at his death Rockefeller still had a fortune of well over 1 percent of the total national wealth, despite losses in the Depression etc.
- No Inequalities of wealth; 350 000 owned 12 per cent of total national income; robber barons owned too much and could exploit their power and the workers; Rockefeller lost 80 per cent of his fortune, so what about the poor? (3-5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is from a newspaper, the other is from a history book so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. (6 – 7)
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Queues of unemployed waiting for food; distributed by charities; not state help; usually insufficient but the choice was to scavenge or starve so breadline looked attractive. (1-2)

Page 7		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42
(ii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 –	Identifies aspects e.g. Democrats support; vic	ently dispersed.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Develops aspects e.g. Set up camps in N immediate payment in June 1932; Republic ordered camps to be demolished; MacArthur attacked and burned tents; riots; two marcher	an Senate refuse r's army with tank	d; July, Hoover s and weapons
(iii)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Overproduction; long standing problems; worsened by lack of confidence; no welfare chance to work in towns; black people usually	bankruptcies; do provision; drough	ownward spiral nt/dust bowl; no
(iv)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, failed to act. Yes, appeal of FDR.		(1)
	Level 2 –	Explanation of Hoover's unpopularity OR other	er factors, single fa	actor given e.g.
	Ηοον	'Prosperity just around the corner'; blocked Army; measures taken inadequate – in government expenditure; new tariff; not tackl raised in 1932 angered some supporters. Republican campaign ineffective etc.	nitially lowering ing banks; tax on	taxes; cutting higher incomes
	Other	FDR's promises; success as Governor of Ne campaign; Democrats united for once; Depre try an alternative etc.		
	Level 3 –	Explanation of Hoover's unpopularity OR oth Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	er factors, multipl	e factors given.
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (a	annotate BBB – (3 – 5)
	Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of Hoover's unpopularity AND ot	her factors must b	e addressed. (6 – 8)

Page 8	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study D: China 1945–c.1990

- **4** (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The new economy had problems in balancing the books and keeping the economy under control; the government tried to take some action etc. (3-4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Market socialism had side effects; exports went up but imports went up more; government put tariffs on imports; inflation up by 22 percent etc. (5-6)
 - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1-2)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Mao's revolution and process had made progress in literacy; shattered the old imperial system of government; left foundations for Deng's developments etc.
- No Mass murders; excesses of the revolution; destroyed ancient culture; Deng was the great pro-market reformer etc. (3-5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an historian and the other is from a journalist so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – One mark for each valid area to a maximum of two e.g. Hong Kong, Macao. (1-2)

Page 9	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42	
(ii) Leve	el 0 – No evidence submitted or response does no	ot address the ques	stion	(0)

Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. Squabble to take the reins of power. (1-2)

- Level 2 Develops aspects e.g. Three candidates: Hua Guofeng (Mao's choice), Deng, sacked during Cultural Revolution, Gang of Four, led by Mao's widow Jiang Qing. Hua initially held power, kept Deng in the background, arrested Gang of four; Deng reasserted himself, shared leadership with Hua but then forced Hua to resign as Party Chairman; Deng in sole control; Gang of four on trial, sentenced to death, later commuted to life imprisonment etc. (2 4)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Frustration with economic problems inflation at 30 per cent and state employees wages lagging behind prices in 1988/9; April demonstration at slow pace of political reform towards democracy; example of Gorbachev's reforms in USSR; Chinese Govt (Zhao Ziyang) promises student demands will be met; Deng outraged; Gorbachev's visit (15–18 May); June, often as many as 250 000 in Square; internal disagreements in govt; Deng won. Thousands of troops brought into dispel protesters on 3–4 June. Basically, frustration at the slow pace of economic and political change. (2 6)
- (iv) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Simple assertions. Yes, many Chinese were becoming richer.

(1)

- Level 2 Explanation of change OR lack of change, single factor given e.g.
- Cha More contact and trade with West; investment from West meant huge increase in commercial enterprise; UNO and World Bank contact also helped; incentives in pay increased production; demand and use of consumer goods from Western fashions, TVs etc; more travel; special economic areas etc.
- Lack CCP remained fully in control; peasants barely saw any change except that some land was taken for building; largest economic and social developments took place from mid-1990s onwards etc. (2)
- Level 3 Explanation of change OR lack of change, multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
- OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). (3 5)
- Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND lack of change must be addressed. (6-8)

Page 10	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

- **5** (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. New; differences between theory and practice; complex; impractical; divisive; to ensure racial hierarchy etc. (3 4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Clashes with the reality of life in Cape Town and history of relationships; rainbow colours of mixed racial origins; to control etc. (5-6)
 - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes To lead to salvation; to secure a fair future; justice for all; lead to independence; government aid; popular with Afrikaners etc.
- No Afrikaner focus; integration impossible; government decision of timings; urban areas exclusively white blacks can only be visitors etc. (3-5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an Afrikaner and the other is from a communist so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source. 7 marks for both.
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. To prevent an individual or group from attending meetings, writing or broadcasting; not allowed to move without police permission; no appeal etc. (1-2)

Page 1	Page 11		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42
(ii)	Leve	el 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Leve	el 1 –	Identifies aspects e.g. Trial of opponents of a	oartheid; failed.	(1 – 2)
	Leve	el 2 –	Develops aspects e.g. About 150 leaders involved in 1955 Freedom Charter, accused publicity; government failed – 60 released in found not guilty in 1961.	of communist/vio	lent aims; huge
(iii)	Leve	el 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Leve	el 1 –	single reason. One for the reason, one for the	explanation.	(1 – 2)
	Leve	el 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Too small for their large populations; poor la government or subjects; industry needer 'independence' not recognised outside South	and; chiefs not alv d black labour	ways trusted by
(iv)	Leve	el 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Leve	el 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, kept opposition down. No, apartheid has	now gone.	(1)
	Leve	el 2 –	Explanation of impact of security forces OR e.g.	other factors. Sin	gle factor given
	SF		The security forces used physical action and force apartheid on the native population – als as well; expect examples of suppression, tor events like Sharpeville etc.	o forced it on son	ne liberal whites
	Othe	er	Most Afrikaners approved of apartheid so it h areas more relaxed; the very fact that black p struggle against apartheid despite the securi not be stopped; neighbouring states; internati	oolitical movement ty forces shows o	ts continued the opposition could
	Leve	el 3 –	Explanation of impact of security forces O given. Allow single factors with multiple reaso		multiple factors
	OR		Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (a	annotate BBB – (3 – 5)
	Leve	el 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of the impact of security force addressed.	es AND other f	actors must be (6 – 8)

Page 12	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994

6 (a) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. (1-2)

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. They had not spent money in preparation; leaders appeared to quarrel easily; seemed to be a personality thing etc. (3-4)
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Had not prepared for an Israeli attack on their aircraft; money had been diverted for other things; Nasser not prepared to accept genuine and objective suggestions/observation etc. (5-6)
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
 - Yes Nasser publicised troop movement to Sinai; massed Egyptian forces and tanks on south-western border of Israel etc.
 - No Hysteria in the Arab world; prediction of long peace by Israeli Chief of Staff; celebration of Independence Day; Israelis believed predictions were right etc.

(3 - 5)

- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from a British person, the other is from an Israeli so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. A militant Palestinian organisation set up by Arafat in Kuwait in 1958 to fight for the restoration of Palestine to the Arabs. Began paramilitary operations in 1964 and assumed the leadership of the PLO in 1969 etc. (1-2)

Page 13	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Identifies part played e.g. Egyptian news vehicle used by Egyptian government. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Develops part played e.g. Official mouthpiece of Egyptian government; propaganda vehicle; whipped up Egyptian/Arab hostility to Israel; to threaten Israel and frighten its population etc. (2 – 4)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Egypt not really recovered from previous losses but needed to save face after criticism of Syria and Jordan; unplanned, unprepared, different agendas for Arab states; false Soviet intelligence; Israel unified, single purpose, better leaders, more organised, air power etc. (2 – 6)
- (iv) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Simple assertions.	
Yes, they grabbed a lot of land.	(1)

- Level 2 Explanation of total OR limited victory, single factor given e.g.
- Total Complete military victory, inflicting severe losses on Arab air forces and land forces; captured equipment meant enemies would take time to re-arm; expensive and time consuming; now had defendable borders; retained US support admiration around the world etc.
- Limit Still had to defend enlarged borders; beaten states had not given in completely; Israel still not recognised; more refugees in occupied lands; Khartoum Accord where Arab states agreed 'no peace, no recognition and no negotiation'; UNO Resolution 242 demanded Israel vacate captured territory etc. (2)
- Level 3 Explanation of total OR limited victory with multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
- OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB Balanced but Brief). (3 5)
- Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of total AND limited victory must be addressed. (6-8)

Page 14	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society

7 (a) (i) Level 0 – No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

Level 1 – Repeats material seen in the source, no inference made. (1-2)

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences unsupported from the source e.g. Serious trouble in the stocking industry; things have been damaged; a lot of money is being offered to get a conviction etc (3 4)
- Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Stocking making machinery has been damaged and the owners are offering the huge sum of 50 Guineas to secure a conviction; asking conspirators to turn on one another with information and attempts to get a pardon etc. (5-6)
- (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. (1 2)
 - Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
 - Yes There is a large crowd present and they appear to be listening to the speaker; the very fact it is recognisable and fun is being poked at it, must mean evidence of popularity and someone feels threatened etc.
 - No Not all the audience is concentrating; a fine hotchpotch of strange characters; the poor English and outrageous principles make the tone of the cartoon mocking etc. (3-5)
 - Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is a Reward poster, and the other is a cartoon so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature and amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.
- (b) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Machine breakers led by the mythical Ned Ludd. Originally stocking frame workers but term became applied to anyone who opposed 'progress' etc. (1-2)

Page 15			Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42
(ii)	Leve	I 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Leve	Level 1 – Identifies problems e.g. Danger and insecurity. (1 – 2			(1 – 2)
	Leve	2–	 2 – Develops problems e.g. Dangerous machinery; long hours; harsh overseers; fines for wrongdoing/lateness; could face instant dismissal; unemployment if orders fell etc. 		
(iii)	Leve	I 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Leve	1–	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. $(1-2)$		
	Leve	2–	- One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. They had never been properly enforced; they were a wartime measure to control population/armed forces; pressure from many quarters to repeal, especially from Francis Place; they served little purpose; the development of workers' associations needed better legislation and proper consideration etc. $(2-6)$		
(iv)	Leve	I 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Leve	1–	Simple assertions. Yes, they were well-known to be harsh and he	eartless.	(1)
	Leve	Level 2 – Explanation of brutality OR kindness, single factor given e.g.			
	Brut	Selfish in many cases as their main concern was profit, not the workers; brutal in the sense that there was no concern for the welfare of the workers; physical brutality was more the concern of the overseers, but they were still the agents of the owners; child and female exploitation etc			
	Kind	Instances of more enlightened factory owners with Robert Owen and New Lanark factory; legislation softens the employers approach; attitudes and the beginnings of a caring culture; effects more easily seen in the second half of the century etc. (2)			
	Leve	evel 3 – Explanation of brutality OR kindness, with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.			
	OR		Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (a	annotate BBB – (3 – 5)
	Leve	4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of brutality AND kindness must b	e addressed.	(6 – 8)

Page 16	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century

- **8** (a) (i) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. (1-2)
 - Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. It has been a great help even if somewhat patronising; the British had little idea of what Indians need; they do not appear to understand Indians; but have their heart in the right place etc. (3-4)
 - Level 3 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. The British have been of great service morally; have a mixed performance politically have introduced new technology with benefit to Indians; they do not really understand Indians and their needs; but have a firm belief in fair play and justice etc. (5-6)
 - (ii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)

- Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.
- Yes Imports of Western technology trains, canals, steamships; irrigation and food production; world markets; textiles in Western India; stagnation of the early nineteenth century had been overcome etc.
- No Only a small minority benefited; famines of the 1880s and 1890s showed that economic growth was limited and the British could not overcome all problems etc. (3-5)
- Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' (6-7)
- (iii) Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question (0)
 - Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. (1)
 - Level 2 Useful/not useful One is from an Indian and the other is from a Briton so they could both be biased/unreliable. (2)
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. (3-5)
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.
 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.
 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.
 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

Page 1	7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2012	0470	42
(b) (i)	Level 0 -	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 –	One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum Parliament that conferred the title of Empress	-	
(ii)	Level 0 -	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 –	Identifies problems e.g. Hunger and insecurity	y .	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Develops problems e.g. Famine as per 1880s growing political disaffection leading to Mutin slowly growing economy; wars; British notion	ny and with the 1	858 legislation;
(iii)	Level 0 -	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 -	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	(1 – 2)
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one Because the Mutiny revealed mistakes and o East India company; political concern in Brita the crown' if India was lost; need to re-establ to convey to Indians that they were important a stake in the 'partnership etc.	deficiencies under in at possible loss lish control in a fa	r the rule of the s of the 'jewel in irer system and
(iv)	Level 0 –	No evidence submitted or response does not	address the ques	tion (0)
	Level 1 -	 Simple assertions. Most resented British interference. 		(1)
	Level 2 –	Explanation of welcome OR unwelcome, sing	le factor given e.g	l.
	Wel	Provided increased opportunities; improved of and shipping; investment in agriculture and in order; fairness etc.		• • •
	Unw	British sense of superiority; racial distinctions Hindu and Muslim customs and religious sen the Mother country etc.		
	Level 3 –	Explanation of welcome OR unwelcome wi factors with multiple reasons.	th multiple factor	s. Allow single
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides o Balanced but Brief).	f the argument (a	annotate BBB – (3 – 5)
	Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of welcome AND unwelcome mu	st be addressed.	(6 – 8)